Consultation on draft revised registration standards and guidelines

28 April 2014

Responses to consultation questions

**Please provide your feedback as a Word document (not PDF) by email to** **nmbafeedback@ahpra.gov.au****by close of business on Monday, 14 July 2014.**

Stakeholder Details

If you wish to include background information about your organisation please provide this as a separate word document (not PDF).

|  |
| --- |
| Organisation name |
|  |
| Contact information*(please include contact person’s name and email address)* |
|  |

Your responses to consultation questions

| Registration standard: Professional indemnity insurance arrangements *Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes below* |
| --- |
| 1. From your perspective how is the current Professional indemnity insurance (PII) arrangements registration standard working?
 |
|  |
| 1. Is the content of the draft revised Registration standard: PII arrangements helpful, clear, relevant and more workable than the current standard?
 |
|  |
| 1. Is there any content that needs to be changed or deleted in the draft revised Registration standard: PII arrangements?
 |
|  |
| 1. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the draft revised Registration standard: PII arrangements?
 |
|  |
| 1. Do you have any other comments on the draft revised Registration standard: PII arrangements?
 |
|  |
| 1. Do you think that that the current review period of at least every five years is appropriate or would an alternative period be appropriate e.g. three years, with the option to review earlier if the need arises?
 |
|  |

| Registration standard: Continuing professional development for nurses (CPD)*Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes below* |
| --- |
| 1. From your perspective how is the current CPD registration standard working?
 |
|  |
| 1. Is the content of the draft revised Registration standard: CPD helpful, clear, relevant and more workable than the current standard?
 |
|  |
| 1. Is there any content that needs to be changed or deleted in the draft revised Registration standard: CPD?
 |
|  |
| 1. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the draft revised Registration standard: CPD?
 |
|  |
| 1. Do you have any other comments on the draft revised Registration standard: CPD?
 |
|  |
| 1. Do you think that that the current review period of at least every five years is appropriate or would an alternative period be appropriate e.g. three years, with the option to review earlier if the need arises?
 |
|  |

| Registration standard: Continuing professional development for midwives (CPD)*Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes below* |
| --- |
| 1. From your perspective is the separation of the CPD registration standard useful?
 |
|  |
| 1. Is the content of the draft revised Registration standard: CPD helpful, clear, relevant and more workable than the current standard?
 |
|  |
| 1. Is there any content that needs to be changed or deleted in the draft revised Registration standard: CPD?
 |
|  |
| 1. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the draft revised Registration standard: CPD?
 |
|  |
| 1. Do you have any other comments on the draft revised Registration standard: CPD?
 |
|  |
| 1. Do you think that that the current review period of at least every five years is appropriate or would an alternative period be appropriate e.g. three years, with the option to review earlier if the need arises?
 |

| Guidelines on continuing professional development (CPD) *Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes below* |
| --- |
| 1. Is the content of the draft guideline on CPD helpful, clear, and relevant?
 |
|  |
| 1. Is there any content that needs to be changed or deleted in the draft guidelines on CPD?
 |
|  |
| 1. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the draft revised guidelines on CPD?
 |
|  |
| 1. Do you have any other comments on the draft revised guidelines on CPD?
 |
|  |
| 1. Do you think that that the current review period of at least every five years is appropriate or would an alternative period be appropriate e.g. three years, with the option to review earlier if the need arises?
 |
|  |

| Registration standard: Recency of practice (ROP) *Please provide your responses to any or all questions in the blank boxes below* |
| --- |
| 1. From your perspective how is the current ROP registration standard working?
 |
|  |
| 1. Is the content of the draft revised Registration standard: ROP helpful, clear, relevant and more workable than the current standard?
 |
|  |
| 1. Is there any content that needs to be changed or deleted in the draft revised Registration standard: ROP?
 |
|  |
| 1. Is there anything missing that needs to be added to the draft revised Registration standard: ROP?
 |
|  |
| 1. Do you have any other comments on the draft revised Registration standard: ROP?
 |
|  |
| 1. Do you think that that the current review period of at least every five years is appropriate or would an alternative period be appropriate e.g. three years, with the option to review earlier if the need arises?
 |
|  |
| 1. Do you think that 450 hours of practice over five years is reasonable?
 |
|  |
| 1. Is two years an appropriate period for the definition of recent graduate in the context of the nursing and midwifery professions?
 |
|  |